


IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
AUSTIN DIVISION

FILED

FEB 21 2023

CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
BY  DEPUTY

KURT PHILLIPS, ON BEHALF OF
HIMSELF AND ALL OTHERS
SIMILARLY SITUATED,
PLAINTIFF,
V.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CAUSE NO. 1:23-CV-022-LY

BAY BRIDGE ADMINISTRATORS, LLC,
DEFENDANT.

MICHAEL MANSON, INDIVIDUALLY,
AND ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS
SIMILARLY SITUATED,
PLAINTIFF,

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CAUSE NO. 1:23-CV-030-LY

V.

BAY BRIDGE ADMINISTRATORS, LLC,
DEFENDANT.

THOMAS GRAHAM, INDIVIDUALLY,
AND ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS
SIMILARLY SITUATED,
PLAINTIFF,

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CAUSE NO. 1:23-CV-085-LY

V.

BAY BRIDGE ADMINISTRATORS, LLC,
DEFENDANT.

AUSTIN KOHL AND M.K., A MINOR
CHILD, ON BEHALF OF THEMSELVES
AND ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY
SITUATED,

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CAUSE NO. 1:23-CV-100-LY

PLAINTIFFS,
V.

BAY BRIDGE ADMINISTRATORS, LLC,
DEFENDANT.

ORDER CONSOLIDATING CASES

Before the court in the above-referenced causes of action are four motions to consolidate ((Doc. #11 in 1:23-CV-022-LY), (Doc. #8 in 1:23-CV-030-LY), (Doc. #5 in 1:23-CV-085-LY), and (Doc. #7 in 1:23-CV-100-LY)). Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 42(a) permits a district court to consolidate “actions before the court involv[ing] a common question of law or fact.” FED. R. CIV. P. 42(a). District courts have broad discretion in determining whether to consolidate cases. *See Mills v. Beech Aircraft Corp.*, 886 F.2d 758, 761–62 (5th Cir. 1989). Consolidation is proper when it will avoid unnecessary costs or delay without prejudicing the rights of the parties. *See id.*; *St. Bernard Gen. Hosp., Inc. v. Hospital Serv. Ass’n of New Orleans, Inc.*, 712 F.2d 978, 989 (5th Cir. 1983). Having reviewed the motions and case files,

IT IS ORDERED that the motions to consolidate ((Doc. #11 in 1:23-CV-022-LY), (Doc. #8 in 1:23-CV-030-LY), (Doc. #5 in 1:23-CV-085-LY), and (Doc. #7 in 1:23-CV-100-LY)) are **GRANTED AS FOLLOWS**: Cause Nos. 1:23-CV-030-LY, 1:23-CV-085-LY, and 1:23-CV-100-LY are consolidated with and into Cause No. 1:23-CV-022-LY.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any application to serve as interim class counsel under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g)(3) shall be filed **on or before March 3, 2023**.

Upon proper motion, the court will consider consolidating any future action involving the same or similar operative facts with and into this consolidated action. After selecting interim class counsel, the court will set a schedule for Plaintiffs to file a consolidated class-action complaint and for Defendant to file a response.

SIGNED this 21st day of February, 2023.


 LEE YEAKEL
 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE